

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 17, 1999

LB 382

up in Section 4. Presumably, if it has acquired a facility and then proposed bonds, or if it's constructed and proposed bonds, although that may be somewhat unlikely, but certainly if you're talking about the "has approved" requirement, then...then the...the language in line 26 I wouldn't think would be consistent with that, but it may be consistent with one of the other conditions up there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could that be made clearer, so that there wouldn't be any question? Because just reading it, it doesn't seem to me that the two can be reconciled. Maybe, as you say, it could be with it drafted as it is.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, I wouldn't argue that it...it could be made clearer, as long as you're not arguing that I could make it clearer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no, no, no. And the reason I brought it up with you, I didn't see anybody else on the floor from the committee, so I thought I would put...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the question to you. Thank you, Senator Raikes. That's all I will ask of you at this time.

SENATOR RAIKES: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, what this amendment that is before us would actually say is that on page 1, line 9, strike the word "appropriate" and insert "adequate". And when I speak the next time I will talk directly to that amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President. On my desk I have what I call a revision of the entire intent section. Those who are interested in this bill, to whom I've shown that rewrite, have said unanimously that it is a much better rendering or version of what ought to be said in the intent